## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, DC 20591

Served: July 5, 1991

FAA Order No. 91-26

In the Matter of:

BRITT AIRWAYS, INC.

Docket No. CP89SW0475

## ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME

By letter dated July 1, 1991, Complainant requested a two-day extension of time until July 3, 1991, in which to file its reply brief. Complainant's reply brief was due on July 1, 1991. Counsel for Complainant stated in the letter that she was ill for several days prior to the brief's due date and, consequently, was unable to work on the brief. She explained further that she was unable to contact counsel for Respondent but believed that Respondent's counsel would not object to the requested extension because agency counsel had consented to an extension of time for Respondent to file the appeal brief.

Section 13.233(e)(2) provides that when the parties do not agree to an extension of time for the filing of a reply brief, the Administrator may grant a written motion for an extension if the party seeking the extension demonstrates good cause for it. 14 C.F.R. § 13.233(e)(2) (1991). Good cause has been demonstrated in this case for a two-day extension.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Complainant's motion for a two-day extension of time is granted, and as a result, Complainant's reply brief was due on July 3, 1991.

JAMES S. BUSEY, ADMINISTRATOR Federal Aviation Administration

by: JAMES S. DILLMAN\*

Assistant Chief Counsel

Issued this 5th day of July, 1991.

<sup>\*</sup> Issued under authority delegated to the Chief Counsel and the Assistant Chief Counsel for Litigation by Memorandum dated January 29, 1990, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 322(b) and 14 C.F.R. § 13.202.